



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

LEONARD TONY EDDY KING, a/k/a	§	
LEONARD TONY EDDY a/k/a KING SOUTH	§	
C. SLAVE,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:04-810-HFF-JRM
	§	3:04-815-HFF-JRM
DEBORAH B. BARBIER <i>et al.</i> ,	§	3:04-820-HFF-JRM
	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE
MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND DISMISSING CASES

These cases, filed separately but consolidated into one Report and Recommendation (Report), appear to be civil rights actions brought by Plaintiff pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*. This matter is before the Court for review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Report) recommending that the cases be dismissed and that a strike be entered for each case. The Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(d) for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Matthews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo*

determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or may recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on March 25, 2004, and Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir.1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in these cases pursuant to the standards set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that these cases be, and the same are hereby, **DISMISSED without prejudice**. It is further **ORDERED** that each of these cases be deemed a strike (thus, a total of three strikes are to be entered) for purposes of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(g).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 12th day of April, 2006, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd
HENRY F. FLOYD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this Order within **sixty (60)** days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.